Introduction to the Density-Functional Tight-Binding (DFTB) Method

Part I

Density-Functional Tight-Binding (DFTB) as fast approximate DFT method

Helmut Eschrig Gotthard Seifert Thomas Frauenheim Marcus Elstner

Workshop at IACS April 8 & 11, 2016

2

Density-Functional Tight-Binding

Part I

- **1.Tight-Binding**
- **2. Density-Functional Tight-Binding (DFTB)**

Part II

- **3. Bond Breaking in DFTB**
- **4. Extensions**
- **5. Performance and Applications**

Density-Functional Tight-Binding

Part I

1.Tight-Binding

2. Density-Functional Tight-Binding (DFTB)

Part II

- **3. Bond Breaking in DFTB**
- **4. Extensions**
- **5. Performance and Applications**

1. Tight-Binding

3

Resources

1. http://www.dftb.org

- **2. DFTB** Porezag, D., T. Frauenheim, T. Köhler, G. Seifert, and R. Kaschner, *Construction of tight-binding-like potentials on the basis of density-functional theory: application to carbon.* Phys. Rev. B, 1995. **51**: p. 12947-12957.
- **3. DFTB** Seifert, G., D. Porezag, and T. Frauenheim, *Calculations of molecules, clusters, and solids with a simplified LCAO-DFT-LDA scheme.* Int. J. Quantum Chem., 1996. **58**: p. 185-192.
- **4. SCC-DFTB** Elstner, M., D. Porezag, G. Jungnickel, J. Elsner, M. Haugk, T. Frauenheim, S. Suhai, and G. Seifert, *Self-consistent-charge density-functional tight-binding method for simulations of complex materials properties.* Phys. Rev. B, 1998. **58**: p. 7260-7268.
- **5. SCC-DFTB-D** Elstner, M., P. Hobza, T. Frauenheim, S. Suhai, and E. Kaxiras, *Hydrogen bonding and stacking interactions of nucleic acid base pairs: A densityfunctional-theory based treatment.* J. Chem. Phys,, 2001. **114**: p. 5149-5155.
- **6. SDFTB** Kohler, C., G. Seifert, U. Gerstmann, M. Elstner, H. Overhof, and T. Frauenheim, *Approximate density-functional calculations of spin densities in large molecular systems and complex solids.* Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys., 2001. **3**: p. 5109-5114.
- **7. DFTB3** Gaus, M.; Cui, C.; Elstner, M. *DFTB3: Extension of the Self-Consistent-Charge Density-Functional Tight-Binding Method (SCC-DFTB).* J. Chem. Theory Comput., 2011. **7**: p. 931-948.

Implementations

1. Tight-Binding

Tight-Binding

- Tight binding (TB) approaches work on the principle of treating **electronic wavefunction of a system as a superposition of atom-like wavefunction** (known to chemists as LCAO approach)
- Valence electrons are tightly bound to the cores (not allowed to delocalize beyond the confines of a minimal LCAO basis)
- Semi-empirical tight-binding (SETB): **Hamiltonian Matrix elements are approximated by analytical functions** (no need to compute integrals)
- **TB energy for** *N* **electrons,** *M* **atoms system:**

$$
E_{\rm TB} = \left| \sum_{i}^{N} \epsilon_i \right| + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j \neq k}^{M} v^{j,k} (|R_j - R_k|)
$$

• This separation of one-electron energies and interatomic distancedependent potential *vj,k* **constitutes the TB method**

Tight-Binding

• ε_{ι} are eigenvalues of a Schrodinger-like equation

$$
\epsilon_i \Psi_i(\vec{r}) = \left[-\frac{1}{2}\Delta + V(\vec{r})\right]\Psi_i(\vec{r})
$$

• solved variationally using atom-like (minimum, single-zeta) AO basis set, leading to a secular equation:

 $|H - \epsilon S| = 0$

where *H* and *S* are Hamiltonian and overlap matrices in the basis of the AO functions. In orthogonal TB, *S* = 1 (overlap between atoms is neglected)

• *H* and *S* are constructed using nearest-neighbor relationships; typically *only nearest-neighbor interactions* are considered: **Similarity to extended Hückel method**

1. Tight-Binding

7

Extended Huckel (EHT) Method

Based on approximation by M. Wolfsberg and L. J. Helmholz (1952)

 $H C_i = \varepsilon_i S C_i$

- **H** Hamiltonian matrix constructed using nearest neighbor relationships
- \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot column vector of the i-th molecular orbital coefficients
- ε_{i} orbital energy
- **S** overlap matrix
- \bullet H_{uu} choose as a constant valence shell ionization potentials

•
$$
H_{\mu\nu} = K S_{\mu\nu} (H_{\mu\mu} + H_{\nu\nu})/2
$$

• K – Wolfsberg Helmholz constant, typically 1.75

Categories of TB approaches

TB models can conditionally be divided:

- Depending on how we treat the overlap matrix S:
	- **O** Orthogonal TB $H\Psi = E\Psi$

• Non-orthogonal TB

 $H\Psi = ES\Psi$

Depending on how we derive the parameters:

- Ab initio TB (derive from DFT)
- **O** Empirical (fit to experiments, or ab initio results)

Depending on how we treat the charge self-consistency problem:

- Non-self-consistent
- **O** Self-consistent

Important: in all modifications we can use the Hellmann-Feynman theorem

$$
\mathbf{f}_I = -\langle \psi \mid \frac{\partial H}{\partial \mathbf{R}_I} \mid \psi \rangle
$$

Source: http://beam.acclab.helsinki.fi/~akrashen/esctmp.html

1. Tight-Binding

 $\mathbf Q$

Slater-Koster (SK) Approximation (I)

The key moment is how we calculate one-electron integrals

$$
h_{pq} = \langle p \mid h \mid q \rangle = \int d^3r \chi_p^*(\mathbf{r}) \left[-\frac{1}{2} \nabla^2 - \sum_{\mathbf{n}} \frac{\mathbf{Z}_{\mathbf{n}}}{|\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{n}} - \mathbf{r}|} \right] \chi_{\mathbf{q}}(\mathbf{r})
$$

Note that the matrix element depends

• Instead of introducing basis functions, e.g., Slater-like for the radial part and s,p,d for the angular part, then doing the integrals, we introduce an analytical function which depends on R_{AB} only times another function which depends on the overlap of the angular functions localized at the atoms

on the positions of ALL atoms Web of Science® $\sqrt{2}$ WELCOME 2 HELP SEARCH CHECKER SEARCH BISTORY SEARCH **Full Record** << Return to Cited Reference Index Record 1 of 1 Title: SIMPLIFIED LCAO METHOD FOR THE PERIODIC POTENTIAL PROBLEM Author(s): SLATER JC, KOSTER GF

Source: PHYSICAL REVIEW 94 (6): 1498-1524 1954 Document Type: Article Language: English FIND RELATED RECORDS (i) Cited References: 32 Times Cited: 2415

Publisher: AMERICAN PHYSICAL SUC, UNE PHYSICS ELLIPSE, COLLEGE PK, MD Subject Category: PHYSICS, MULTIDISCIPLINARY IDS Number: UB483 ISSN: 0031-899X

Source: http://beam.acclab.helsinki.fi/~akrashen/esctmp.html

10

SK Approximation (IV)

• Off-diagonal elements:

p-p: For p_x - p_x atomic orbitals, the matrix element is $h_{p_x p_x} = s(r) E_{x,x} = s(r) [l^2 V_{pp\sigma} + (1 - l^2) V_{pp\pi}]$

Analogously, p_x-p_y matrix element is

 $h_{p_x p_y} = s(r) E_{x,y} = s(r) [lm V_{pp\sigma} - lm V_{pp\pi}]$

 p_z - p_z matrix element is

$$
h_{p_{z}p_{z}} = s(r)E_{z,z} = s(r)[n^{2}V_{pp\sigma} + (1 - n^{2})V_{pp\pi}]
$$

$$
l = x/r, \ m = y/r, \ n = z/r
$$

• Four non-equivalent fundamental ontegrals between s and p atomic orbitals

p-d: We can derive similar formulas for d orbitals as well Source: http://beam.acclab.helsinki.fi/~akrashen/esctmp.html

1. Tight-Binding

13

SK Tables

 $E_{\rm s,x} = N_{\rm sor}$ $E_{x,x} = l^2 V_{\text{pp}e} + (1 - l^2) V_{\text{pp}n}$ $E_{xy} = lmV_{\text{obs}} - lmV_{\text{obs}}$ $E_{xx} = lnV_{\text{cps}} - lnV_{\text{obs}}$ $E_{s,xy} = 3^{1/2}$ /mV_{ada} $E_{\text{a}x^2-y^2} = \frac{1}{2}3^{1/2}(l^2-m^2)V_{\text{min}}$ $E_{s,3z^2-z^2} = [n^2 - \frac{1}{2}(l^2 + m^2)]V_{\text{std}}$ $E_{x,xy} = 3^{1/2}l^2mV_{\text{pot}} + m(1 - 2l^2)V_{\text{pd}x}$ $E_{x,yz} = 3^{1/2}$ lmn $V_{\text{pde}} - 2$ lmn V_{pde} $E_{x,2x} = 3^{1/2}l^2nV_{\text{pd}\sigma} + n(1 - 2l^2)V_{\text{pd}\sigma}$ $E_{x,x^2-y^2} = \frac{1}{2}3^{1/2}I(l^2-m^2)V_{\text{pd}r} + I(1-l^2+m^2)V_{\text{pd}r}$ $E_{y.x^2-y^2} = \frac{1}{2}3^{1/2}m(l^2-m^2)V_{\text{pde}} - m(1 + l^2-m^2)V_{\text{pde}}$ $E_{x,x^2-y^2} = \frac{1}{2}3^{1/2}n(l^2-m^2)V_{\text{pot}} - n(l^2-m^2)V_{\text{pot}}$ $E_{x,3x^2-r^2} = I[n^2 - \frac{1}{2}(l^2 + m^2)]V_{pd\sigma} - 3^{1/2}ln^2V_{pd\sigma}$ $E_{y,3z^2-r^2} = m[n^2 - \frac{1}{2}(l^2 + m^2)]V_{\text{pde}} - 3^{1/2}mn^2V_{\text{pd}}$ $E_{z,3z^2-z^2} = n[n^2 - \frac{1}{2}(l^2+m^2)]V_{\text{pda}} + 3^{1/2}n(l^2+m^2)V_{\text{pda}}$ $E_{xy,xy} = 3l^2m^2V_{dd\sigma} + (l^2 + m^2 - 4l^2m^2)V_{dd\sigma} + (n^2 + l^2m^2)V_{dd\sigma}$ $E_{xy,yx} = 3/m^2 n V_{dd\sigma} + ln(1 - 4m^2) V_{ddx} + ln(m^2 - 1) V_{dd\sigma}$ $E_{x_{y,ex}} = 3l^2mnV_{dd\sigma} + mn(1 - 4l^2)V_{dd\pi} + mn(l^2 - 1)V_{dd\pi}$ $E_{xy,x^2-y^2} = \frac{3}{2}Im(l^2-m^2)V_{dd\sigma} + 2im(m^2-l^2)V_{dd\pi} + \frac{1}{2}Im(l^2-m^2)V_{dd\sigma}$ $E_{\gamma x,x^2-y^2} = \frac{2m(n-2m)\sqrt{6d\sigma}}{2mn(n-2m)}$
 $+ m\Gamma(1+\frac{1}{2}(l^2-m^2))V_{dd\sigma} = \frac{2m(n-2m)}{2m}$ $E_{2x,x^2-y^2} = \frac{3n}{2}n^2(n^2 - m^2)V_{dd\sigma} + n^2[1 - 2(n^2 - m^2)]V_{dd\sigma}$
- $n^2[1 - \frac{1}{2}(n^2 - m^2)]V_{dd\sigma}$ $E_{xy,3z^2-r^2}=3^{1/2}/m\left[n^2-\frac{1}{2}(l^2+m^2)\right]V_{dd\sigma}-3^{1/2}2/mn^2V_{dd\sigma}$
 $+\frac{1}{2}3^{1/2}/m(1+n^2)V_{dd\sigma}$ $E_{yz,3z^2-z^2} = 3^{1/2} \frac{mn[n^2 - \frac{1}{2}(l^2 + m^2)]V_{\text{ddr}} + 3^{1/2}mn(l^2 + m^2 - n^2)V_{\text{ddr}}}{2m(l^2 + m^2 - n^2)}$

Source: http://beam.acclab.helsinki.fi/~akrashen/esctmp.html

Density-Functional Tight-Binding

Part I

1.Tight-Binding

2. Density-Functional Tight-Binding (DFTB)

Part II

- **3. Bond Breaking in DFTB**
- **4. Extensions**
- **5. Performance and Applications**

Taken from Oliviera, Seifert, Heine, Duarte, *J. Braz. Chem. Soc. 20*, 1193-1205 (2009)

J. Braz. Chem. Soc., Vol. 20, No. 7, 1193-1205, 2009.
Printed in Brazil - ©2009 Sociedade Brasileira de Química
0103 - 5053 \$6.00+0.00

15

DFTB

Density-Functional Based Tight-Binding: an Approximate DFT Method

Augusto F. Oliveira, *,a,b Gotthard Seifert, b Thomas Heine^c and Hélio A. Duarte^{*,a}

^aDepartamento de Química, Instituto de Ciências Exatas, Universidade Federal de Minas Gerais, Av. Antonio Carlos, 6627, 31270-901 Belo Horizonte-MG, Brazil

^bPhysikalische Chemie, Technische Universität Dresden, Mommsenstr, 13, D-01062 Dresden, Germany ^cSchool of Engineering and Sciences, Jacobs University, P.O. Box 750 561, 28725 Bremen, Germany

...open access

Review

Density Functional Theory (DFT)

at convergence: Walter Kohn/John A. Pople 1998

 $[\rho] = \sum_{i=1}^{M} n_i \langle \psi_i | -\frac{1}{2} \nabla^2 + v_{ext} (\mathbf{r}) + \int \frac{\rho(\mathbf{r}^*)}{|\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}_i|^d} d^3$ $[\rho]$ – $\frac{1}{2} \int_0^{\rho(\bar{r})} \frac{\rho(\bar{r}')}{\Gamma} d^3r d^3$ 1 \overline{B} =1 1 $1_{\nabla^2 \leftarrow \mathcal{L}} \left(\begin{matrix} \Gamma \\ \Gamma \end{matrix} \right) \cdot \mathcal{L}^{\rho(\hat{r})} \right)$ 2 $\left| \begin{array}{cc} r & r \end{array} \right|$ $\left| \begin{array}{cc} r & r \end{array} \right|$ $+E_{rr}[\rho]-\frac{1}{2}\int\int\frac{\rho(\vec{r})\rho(\vec{r}\,)}{r-r_{+}}d^{3}rd^{3}r'+\frac{1}{2}$ 2 $J J |r-r|$ 2 *M* $i \vee i \mid \gamma$ γ i γ ext γ ii $\prod_{i=1}^n \prod_{j=1}^{n_i}$ if γ *i N xc M* $i^{\mathcal{L}}i^{\mathcal{T}}$ *rep i r* $E[\rho] = \sum n_i \langle \psi_i | -\frac{1}{2} \nabla^2 + v_{ext}(\vec{r}) + \int_{\gamma}^{\rho} \frac{V(\vec{r})^2}{\Gamma} d^3r$ $\frac{1}{r}$ $\frac{1}{r}$ $\int r \cdot \rho(r')$ 3 3 1 $\int \frac{N}{N}$ $Z_{\alpha}Z$ $E_{\rm sc}$ ρ $\left|-\frac{1}{2}\int_{0}^{\rho} \frac{\left(r^{2} \rho V^{2} \right)}{\Gamma_{\rm m} V^{2}} d^{3}r d^{3}r\right|$ $\vec{r} - \vec{r}$ | $2 \sum_{\alpha, \beta=1} \left| \vec{R}_{\alpha} - \vec{R} \right|$ $n_i \varepsilon_i + E$ α α β $\frac{\alpha}{\alpha \neq \beta}$ $\left| \Lambda_{\alpha} - \Lambda_{\beta} \right|$ ρ] = $\sum_{i=1}^{M} n_i \langle \psi_i | -\frac{1}{2} \nabla^2 + v_{ext} \left(\frac{\Gamma}{r} \right) + \int_{1}^{D} \left(\frac{\Gamma}{r} \right) d^3 r' |\psi \rangle$ $\rho(r)\rho$ ρ $=\sum_{i=1}^n n_i \langle \psi_i | -\frac{1}{2} \nabla^2 + v_{ext} (r) + \int_{r}^{\infty} \frac{r}{|r - r|}$ $+ E_{xc} [\rho] - \frac{1}{2} \iint \frac{f^{\rho} (r^r) f^{\rho} (r^r)}{|r - r^r|} d^3r d^3r' + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\substack{\alpha, \beta = 1 \\ \alpha \neq \beta}} \frac{f^{\alpha}}{|R_{\alpha} - r^r|}$ = $=\sum n_i \varepsilon_i +$ r r r ^r r_{λ} r $\int_{r}^{r} \frac{r^{2}}{r^{4}}$ $\int_{r}^{r} d^{3}r^{2}r^{2} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{n} \frac{r^{2}}{n^{2}} \frac{r^{2}}{n^{2}}$

Various criteria for convergence possible:

- **Electron density**
- **Potential**
- **Orbitals**
- **Energy**
- Combinations of above quantities

17

DFTB

Foulkes + Haydock Ansatz

Phys. Rev. B, 39, 12520 (1989)

$$
\rho(\vec{r}) = \rho_0(\vec{r}) + \delta \rho(\vec{r})
$$

\n
$$
E[\rho_0 + \delta \rho] = \sum_{i}^{M} n_i \left\langle \psi_i \middle| -\frac{1}{2} \nabla^2 + \nu_{\text{ext}}(\vec{r}) + \int \frac{\rho'_0}{|\vec{r} - \vec{r}'|} d\vec{r}' + \nu_{\text{xc}}[\rho_0] \middle| \psi_i \right\rangle
$$

\n
$$
-\frac{1}{2} \iint \frac{\rho'_0 (\rho_0 + \delta \rho)}{|\vec{r} - \vec{r}'|} d\vec{r} d\vec{r}' - \int \nu_{\text{xc}}[\rho_0] (\rho_0 + \delta \rho) d\vec{r}
$$

\n
$$
+\frac{1}{2} \iint \frac{\delta \rho' (\rho_0 + \delta \rho)}{|\vec{r} - \vec{r}'|} d\vec{r} d\vec{r}' + E_{\text{xc}}[\rho_0 + \delta \rho] + E_{\text{nn}}
$$

Self-consistent-charge density-functional tight-binding (SCC-DFTB)

M. Elstner *et al., Phys. Rev. B* **58** 7260 (1998)

Approximate density functional theory (DFT) method!

Second order-expansion of DFT energy in terms of reference density ρ_0 and charge fluctuation ρ_1 ($\rho \approx \rho_0 + \rho_1$) yields:

$$
E[\rho] = \underbrace{\sum_{i}^{v \text{atimes}} n_{i} \langle \phi_{i} | \hat{H}[\rho_{0}] | \phi_{i} \rangle}_{1} + \underbrace{\sum_{i}^{core}_{v \text{bitals}} n_{i} \langle \phi_{i} | \hat{H}[\rho_{0}] | \phi_{i} \rangle}_{2} + \underbrace{E_{xc}[\rho_{0}] - \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbf{R}^{3}} \rho_{0} V_{H}[\rho_{0}] - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\mathbf{R}^{3}} \rho_{0} V_{H}[\rho_{0}] - \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbf{R}^{3}} \rho_{0} V_{H}[\rho_{0}] + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbf{R}^{3}} \frac{\delta^{2} E_{xc}}{\delta \rho_{1}^{2}} \rho_{0}^{2} + o(3)
$$

Density-functional tight-binding (DFTB) method is derived from terms 1-6

Self-consistent-charge density-functional tight-binding (SCC-DFTB) ₁₉ method is derived from terms 1-8

DFTB

DFTB and SCC-DFTB methods

 \div where

- \triangleright *n_i* and ε _{*i*} \rightarrow occupation and orbital energy ot the *i*th Kohn-Sham eigenstate
- E_{ren} distance-dependent diatomic repulsive potentials
- \triangleright Δq_A induced charge on atom *A*
- \triangleright γ_{AB} distance-dependent charge-charge interaction functional; obtained from chemical hardness (IP – EA)

DFTB method

- \cdot Repulsive diatomic potentials replace usual nuclear repulsion energy
- Reference density ρ_0 is constructed from atomic densities

$$
\rho_0 = \sum_{4}^{\text{atoms}} \rho_0^A
$$

• Kohn-Sham eigenstates ϕ_i are expanded in Slater basis of valence pseudoatomic orbitals ^χ*ⁱ*

$$
\phi_i = \sum_{\mu}^{\text{AO}} c_{\mu i} \chi_{\mu}
$$

 \cdot The DFTB energy is obtained by solving a generalized DFTB eigenvalue problem with **H**0 computed by atomic and diatomic DFT

$$
\mathbf{H}^{0}\mathbf{C} = \mathbf{S}\mathbf{C}\varepsilon \quad \text{with} \quad S_{\mu\nu} = \langle \chi_{\mu} | \chi_{\nu} \rangle
$$

$$
H^{0}_{\mu\nu} = \langle \chi_{\mu} | \hat{H} \left[\rho_{0}^{M}, \rho_{0}^{N} \right] | \chi_{\nu} \rangle
$$

21

DFTB

DFTB

Approximations in the DFTB Hamiltonian

Traditional DFTB concept: Hamiltonian matrix elements are approximated to two-center terms. The same types of approximations are done to E_{ren} .

 $H^0_{\mu\nu} = \begin{cases} \varepsilon^{\text{neutral free atom}}_{\mu} & \text{if } \mu = \nu \\ \langle \varphi^{\alpha}_{\mu} | \hat{T} + V^{\alpha}_{0} + V^{\beta}_{0} | \varphi^{\beta}_{\nu} \rangle & \text{if } \alpha \neq \beta \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$ From Elstner et al., PRB 1998

$$
V_{\text{eff}}\left[\rho_0\right] \approx V_{\text{eff}}\left[\rho_A + \rho_B\right]
$$

$$
V_{\text{eff}}\left[\rho_0\right] \approx V_{\text{eff}}\left[\rho_A\right] + V_{\text{eff}}\left[\rho_B\right]
$$

(Density superposition)

(Potential superposition)

SCC-DFTB matrix elements

pre-computed parameter •Reference Hamiltonian H0 •Overlap integral *Sµ^ν*

 \checkmark two-center approximation \checkmark nearest neighbor off-diagonal elements only (choice of cutoff values)

Atom 1 – 4 are the same atom & have only *s* shell

SCC-DFTB matrix elements

SCC-DFTB matrix elements

- 20-40 DFT data points

DFTB repulsive potential $\mathsf{E}_{\mathsf{reg}}$ **
** $\epsilon_i^H + \sum \mathsf{U}_{\alpha\beta} \rightarrow \mathsf{U}_{\alpha\beta} = E^{DFT}[\rho](R_{\alpha\beta}) - \sum_i$ $\epsilon_i^H(R_{\alpha\beta})$ $E[\rho] = \sum$ $\mathsf{U}_{\alpha\beta}$ $\alpha\beta$ 0.4 E_{DET} 0.3 0.2 **Development** anergy [a.u.] **of** 0.1 **(semi-)automati** 0 **c fitting:** •Knaup, J. *et al.*, -0.1 *JPCA,* **111**, 5637, (2007) -0.2 •Gaus, M. *et al.*, -0.3 *JPCA*, **113**, 11866, (2009) -0.4 •Bodrog Z. *et al*., 1l.2 1.5 1.1 1.3 1.6 $1.\overline{7}$ 1.8 1.4 *JCTC*, **7**, 2654, distance [Å] (2011) HC-CH $H₂C-CH₂$ H_3C -C H_3 Which molecular systems to include?

DFTB

SCC-DFTB method (I)

- \cdot Additional induced-charges term allows for a proper description of charge-transfer phenomena
- Induced charge Δq_A on atom *A* is determined from Mulliken population analysis

$$
\Delta q_A = \sum_{i}^{MO} n_i \sum_{\mu \in A} \sum_{\nu}^{AO} c_{\mu i} c_{\nu i} S_{\mu \nu} - q_A^0
$$

v Kohn-Sham eigenenergies are obtained from a generalized, self-consistent SCC-DFTB eigenvalue problem

$$
\mathbf{HC} = \mathbf{SC}\varepsilon \quad \text{with} \quad S_{\mu\nu} = \langle \chi_{\mu} | \chi_{\nu} \rangle \quad \text{and}
$$
\n
$$
H_{\mu\nu} = \langle \chi_{\mu} | \hat{H} [\rho_0^M, \rho_0^N] | \chi_{\nu} \rangle + \frac{1}{2} S_{\mu\nu} \sum_{K}^{\text{atoms}} (\gamma_{MK} + \gamma_{NK}) \Delta q_K
$$

DFTB

 29

DFTB

SCC-DFTB method (II)

Only second-order terms (terms 7-8 on slide 16):

$$
\mathcal{E}^{2}[\rho,\rho_0] = \frac{1}{2} \iint' \left(\frac{1}{|\vec{r} - \vec{r}'|} + \frac{\delta^2 E_{\rm xc}}{\delta \rho \, \delta \rho'} \bigg|_{n_0} \right) \Delta \rho \, \Delta \rho'
$$

Represent by atomic contributions:

$$
\Delta \rho = \sum_\alpha \Delta \rho_\alpha
$$

Monopole approximation

$$
\Delta \rho_\alpha \approx \Delta q_\alpha F^\alpha_{00} Y_{00}
$$

$$
\digamma^2[\rho,\rho_0]\approx\frac{1}{2}\sum_{\alpha\beta}\Delta q_\alpha\Delta q_\beta\int\int'\left(\frac{1}{|\vec{r}-\vec{r}'|}+\left.\frac{\delta^2E_{\text{xc}}}{\delta\rho\,\delta\rho'}\right|_{\rho_0}\right)\digamma_{00}^\alpha\digamma_{00}^\beta\mathsf{Y}_{00}^2
$$

Basic assumptions:

- •Only transfer of net charge between atoms
- •Size and shape of atom (in molecule) unchanged

SCC-DFTB method (III)

$$
\pmb{E}^2[\rho,\rho_0] \approx \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\alpha\beta} \Delta q_\alpha \Delta q_\beta \iint' \left(\frac{1}{|\vec{r}-\vec{r}'|} + \frac{\delta^2 E_{\text{xc}}}{\delta \rho \, \delta \rho'} \bigg|_{\pmb{n_0}} \right) \pmb{F}_{00}^\alpha \pmb{F}_{00}^\beta \,\mathsf{Y}_{00}^2
$$

Consider two limiting cases:

A) Large distance: $|\vec{r} - \vec{r}'| \approx R_{\alpha\beta} \rightarrow \infty$

$$
E^2[\rho,\rho_0] \to \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\alpha\beta} \frac{\Delta q_\alpha \Delta q_\beta}{R_{\alpha\beta}}
$$

B) 'On-site' e-e repulsion: $R_{\alpha\beta} \rightarrow 0$, i.e. $\alpha = \beta$

$$
\mathcal{E}^2[\rho,\rho_0]\rightarrow \frac{1}{2}\sum_\alpha \frac{\partial^2 \mathcal{E}_\alpha^{at}}{\partial q_\alpha^2}\Delta q_\alpha^2=\frac{1}{2}\sum_\alpha U_\alpha \Delta q_\alpha^2
$$

New (Hubbard) parameter U_{α} : computed from DFT (PBE)

DFTB

31

SCC-DFTB method (IV)

Derive analytical function which interpolates between these two cases

$$
E^2[\rho,\rho_0] \approx \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\alpha\beta} \Delta q_\alpha \Delta q_\beta \iint' \left(\frac{1}{|\vec{r} - \vec{r}'|} + \frac{\delta^2 E_{\rm xc}}{\delta \rho \delta \rho'} \bigg|_{\rho_0} \right) F_{00}^{\alpha} F_{00}^{\beta} Y_{00}^2
$$

$$
E^2[\rho,\rho_0] \approx \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\alpha\beta} \Delta q_\alpha \Delta q_\beta \gamma_{\alpha\beta}
$$

Klopmann-Ohno:

Several possible formulations for $\gamma_{\alpha\beta}$: Mataga-Nishimoto < Klopmann-Ohno < DFTB Elstner et al. (1998): Phys. Rev. B 58, 7260 (1998)

 $\gamma_{\alpha\beta} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{R^2 + \frac{1}{4} (U_{\alpha}^{-1} + U_{\beta}^{-1})^2}}$

Gradient for the DFTB methods

The DFTB force formula

$$
F_a = -\sum_{i}^{MO} n_i \sum_{\mu\nu}^{AO} c_{\mu i} c_{\nu i} \left[\frac{\partial H_{\mu\nu}^0}{\partial a} - \varepsilon_i \frac{\partial S_{\mu\nu}}{\partial a} \right] - \frac{\partial E_{\text{rep}}}{\partial a}
$$

The SCC-DFTB force formula

$$
F_a = -\sum_{i}^{M_O} n_i \sum_{\mu\nu}^{AO} c_{\mu i} C_{\nu i} \left[\frac{\partial H_{\mu\nu}^O}{\partial a} - \left(\varepsilon_i - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{K}^{atom} (\gamma_{MK} + \gamma_{NK}) \Delta q_K \right) \frac{\partial S_{\mu\nu}}{\partial a} \right] -
$$

$$
-\Delta q_A \sum_{K}^{\text{atoms}} \frac{\partial \gamma_{AK}}{\partial a} \Delta q_K - \frac{\partial E_{\text{rep}}}{\partial a}
$$

computational effort: energy calculation 90% gradient calculation 10%

DFTB

33

Spin-polarized DFTB (SDFTB)

- **for systems with different** \uparrow and \downarrow spin densities, we have
	- \triangleright total density $\rho = \rho^{\uparrow} + \rho^{\downarrow}$
	- **Example 1** magnetization density $\rho^s = \rho^{\uparrow} \rho^{\downarrow}$
- 2nd-order expansion of DFT energy at $(\rho_0,0)$ yields

$$
E[\rho,\rho^S] = \underbrace{\sum_{i}^{\text{vibitals}} n_i \langle \phi_i | \hat{H}[\rho_0] | \phi_i \rangle}_{1} + \underbrace{\sum_{i}^{\text{orbitals}} n_i \langle \phi_i | \hat{H}[\rho_0] | \phi_i \rangle}_{2} + \underbrace{E_{\text{xc}}[\rho_0] - \frac{1}{2} \int_{\text{R}^3} \rho_0 V_H[\rho_0] + \underbrace{E_{\text{nucl}}}{2} + \underbrace{\frac{1}{2} \int_{\text{R}^3} \rho_0 V_H[\rho_1] + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\text{R}^3} \frac{\delta^2 E_{\text{xc}}}{\delta \rho_1^2} \left|_{(\rho_0,0)} \rho_1^2 + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\text{R}^3} \frac{\delta^2 E_{\text{xc}}}{\delta \rho_1^S} \right|_{(\rho_0,0)} (\rho^S)^2 + O(3)
$$

The Spin-Polarized SCC-DFTB (SDFTB) method is derived from terms 1-9

DFTB

Spin-polarized DFTB (SDFTB)

$$
E^{\text{SDFTB}} = \underbrace{\sum_{i}^{v \text{alence}} n_{i}^{\dagger} \varepsilon_{i}^{\dagger} + \sum_{i}^{v \text{bitals}} n_{i}^{\dagger} \varepsilon_{i}^{\dagger}}_{\text{term 1}} + \underbrace{\frac{1}{2} \sum_{A \neq B}^{a \text{forms}} \gamma_{AB} \Delta q_{A} \Delta q_{B}}_{\text{term 2-6}} + \underbrace{\frac{1}{2} \sum_{A \neq B}^{a \text{times}} E_{\text{rep}}^{AB} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{A \neq B}^{a \text{times}} \sum_{\text{term 9}} \sum_{\text{term 9}} p_{A1} p_{A1} W_{A1} W_{B1}^{\dagger}}_{\text{term 1}}
$$
\nwhere p_{A1} —spin population of shell *I* on atom *A*
\n W_{A11} —spin-population interaction functional $W_{A11} = \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\partial \varepsilon_{A1}^{\dagger}}{\partial n_{I}^{\dagger}} - \frac{\varepsilon_{A1}^{\dagger}}{\partial n_{I}^{\dagger}} \right)_{\rho=0} = W_{A11}$
\n
$$
\clubsuit
$$
 Spin populations p_{A1} and induced charges Δq_{A} are obtained from Mulliken population analysis

$$
\Delta q_A = \sum_{i}^{NLO} \sum_{\mu \in A} \sum_{v}^{NLO} \Big(n_i^{\dagger} c_{\mu i}^{\dagger} c_{\nu i}^{\dagger} + n_i^{\dagger} c_{\mu i}^{\dagger} c_{\nu i}^{\dagger} \Big) S_{\mu v} - q_A^0
$$

$$
p_{AI} = \sum_{i}^{MO} \sum_{\mu \in A, l} \sum_{v}^{AO} \Big(n_i^{\dagger} c_{\mu i}^{\dagger} c_{vi}^{\dagger} - n_i^{\dagger} c_{\mu i}^{\dagger} c_{vi}^{\dagger} \Big) S_{\mu v}
$$

35

DFTB

Spin-polarized DFTB (SDFTB)

* Kohn-Sham energies are obtained by solving generalized, self-consistent SDFTB eigenvalue problems

$$
H^{\dagger}C^{\dagger} = SC^{\dagger} \varepsilon^{\dagger}
$$

$$
H^{\dagger}C^{\dagger} = SC^{\dagger} \varepsilon^{\dagger}
$$

where

$$
S_{\mu\nu} = \langle \chi_{\mu} | \hat{H} [\rho_0^M, \rho_0^N] \chi_{\mu} \rangle + \frac{1}{2} S_{\mu\nu} \sum_{K}^{\text{atoms}} (\gamma_{MK} + \gamma_{NK}) \Delta q_K + \delta_{MN} \frac{1}{2} S_{\mu\nu} \sum_{l^* \in M} (W_{All^*} + W_{All^*}) p_{Ml^*}
$$

$$
H_{\mu\nu}^{\downarrow} = \langle \chi_{\mu} | \hat{H} [\rho_0^M, \rho_0^N] \chi_{\mu} \rangle + \frac{1}{2} S_{\mu\nu} \sum_{K}^{\text{atoms}} (\gamma_{MK} + \gamma_{NK}) \Delta q_K - \delta_{MN} \frac{1}{2} S_{\mu\nu} \sum_{l^* \in M} (W_{All^*} + W_{All^*}) p_{Ml^*}
$$

M,N,K: indexing specific atoms

SCC-DFTB w/fractional orbital occupation numbers

Fractional occupation numbers f_i of Kohn-Sham eigenstates replace integer n_i

TB-eigenvalue equation
$$
\sum_{v} c_{vi} (H_{\mu v} - \varepsilon_{i} S_{\mu v}) = 0
$$

\n
$$
E_{tot} = 2 \sum_{i} f_{i} \varepsilon_{i} + E_{rep} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\alpha\beta} \gamma_{\alpha\beta} \Delta q_{\alpha} \Delta q_{\beta}
$$

\nFinite temperature approach (Mermin free energy E_{Mermin})
\nM. Weinert, J. W. Davenport, *Phys. Rev. B* 45, 13709 (1992)
\n
$$
f_{i} = \frac{1}{\exp[(\varepsilon_{i} - \mu)/k_{B}T_{e}] + 1} \qquad \text{S}_{e} \text{ electronic temperature}
$$
\n
$$
0 \le f_{i} \le 1
$$
\n
$$
E_{Mermin} = E_{tot} - T_{e}S_{e} \qquad S_{e} = -2k_{B} \sum_{i}^{8} f_{i} \ln f_{i} + (1 - f_{i}) \ln(1 - f_{i})
$$

\nAtomic force
\n
$$
F_{\alpha} = -2 \sum_{i} f_{i} \sum_{\mu\nu} c_{\mu i} c_{\nu i} \left[\frac{\partial H_{\mu\nu}^{0}}{\partial R_{\alpha}} - \left(\varepsilon_{i} - \frac{H_{\mu\nu}^{1}}{S_{\mu\nu}} \right) \frac{\partial S_{\mu\nu}}{\partial R_{\alpha}} \right] - \Delta q_{\alpha} \sum_{\xi} \frac{\partial \gamma_{\alpha \xi}}{\partial R_{\alpha}} \Delta q_{\xi} - \frac{\partial E_{rep}}{\partial R_{\alpha}}
$$

DFTB

DFTB

Fermi-Dirac distribution function: Energy derivative for Mermin Free Energy

M. Weinert, J. W. Davenport, *Phys. Rev. B* **45**, 13709 (1992)

$$
F_{\alpha}^{elect} = \vec{F}_{HF} + \vec{F}_{pulay} + \vec{F}_{charge}
$$

\n
$$
= \sum_{i}^{\infty} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} (\varepsilon_{i} f_{i}) = \sum_{i}^{\infty} f_{i} \frac{\partial \varepsilon_{i}}{\partial x} + \sum_{i}^{\infty} \varepsilon_{i} \frac{\partial f_{i}}{\partial x}
$$

\n
$$
F_{\alpha}^{elect-TS} = \vec{F}_{HF} + \vec{F}_{pulay} + \vec{F}_{charge} + \vec{F}_{TS}
$$

\n
$$
= \sum_{i}^{\infty} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} (\varepsilon_{i} f_{i}) + \frac{\partial (-T_{e} S)}{\partial x}
$$

\n
$$
= \sum_{i}^{\infty} f_{i} \frac{\partial \varepsilon_{i}}{\partial x} + \sum_{i}^{\infty} \varepsilon_{i} \frac{\partial f_{i}}{\partial x} - \sum_{i}^{\infty} \varepsilon_{i} \frac{\partial f_{i}}{\partial x}
$$
Correction term a
\n
$$
= \sum_{i}^{\infty} f_{i} \frac{\partial \varepsilon_{i}}{\partial x}
$$

\n
$$
= \sum_{i}^{\infty} f_{i} \frac{\partial \varepsilon_{i}}{\partial x}
$$

Crising from Function

Electronic Parameters DFTB Parameterization

New Confining Potentials

ØTypically, electron density contracts under covalent bond formation.

ØIn standard *ab initio* methods, this problem can be remedied by including more basis functions.

ØDFTB uses minimal valence basis set: the confining potential is adopted to mimic contraction

Henryk Witek

1). DFT band structure calculations

•VASP 4.6

- •One atom per unit cell
- •PAW (projector augmented wave) method
- •32 x 32 x 32 Monkhorst-Pack *k*-point sampling
- \cdot cutoff = 400 eV
- •Fermi level is shifted to 0 eV

2). DFTB band structure fitting

•Optimization of parameter sets for Woods-Saxon confining potential (orbital and density) and unoccupied orbital energies

•Fixed orbital energies for electron occupied orbitals

•Valence orbitals : [1*s*] for 1st row

[2*s*, 2*p*] for 2nd row

[n*s*, n*p*, m*d*] for 3rd – 6th row

 $(n ≥ 3, m = n-1$ for group 1-12, $m = n$ for group 13-18)

•Fitting points : valence bands + conduction bands (depending on the system, at least including up to $~+5$ eV with respect to Fermi level) 41

Particle swarm optimization (PSO)

Particle Swarm Optimization DFTB Parameterization

1) Particles (=candidate of a solution) are randomly placed initially in a target space.

2) – 3) Position and velocity of particles are updated based on the exchange of information between particles and particles try to find the best solution.

4) Particles converges to the place which gives the best solution after a number of iterations.

Particle Swarm Optimization

DFTB Parameterization

Electronic Parameters

DFTB Parameterization

Example: Be, HCP crystal structure

Band structure fitting for FCC crystal structures

Pu

Am

Bk

Cm

Cf

Es

Fm

Md

No

Pa

T۲

**Actinoids

 \mathbf{U}

Np

Band structure fitting for HCP crystal structures

Np | Pu | Am | Cm | Bk | Cf | Es | Fm |

**Actinoids

Th

 Pa

 \cup

 Md

No

Band structure fitting for Diamond crystal structures •space group No. 227 *a* •1 lattice constant (*a*) Group $3 \mid 4$ 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 $\overline{2}$ $\mathbf{1}$ Period Transferability checked (single point calculation) $\overline{2}$ Reference system in PSO He H $\mathbf{1}$ $\overline{3}$ $\overline{9}$ 10 $\overline{4}$ $\overline{8}$ Experimental lattice constants \overline{B} ϵ \overline{N} F $\overline{2}$ Li Be \circ **Ne** available $\bar{1}1$ $12\,$ 13 $\frac{14}{\text{Si}}$ 15 16 17 18 Al P $\overline{3}$ Na Mg S CI Ar 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36 Ga Ġ٤ Sc Cr Fe Ni As Se Kr $\overline{4}$ K Ca Ti \vee Mn Co Cu Zn **Br** 37 47 54 38 39 40 41 42 43 44 45 46 48 49 51 52 53 **Rb** Ÿ Sr $\overline{5}$ Sr Zr **Nb** Tc Ru **Rh** Pd Ag Cd In Sb Te Xe Mo T \ast 55 56 71 72 73 74 75 76 77 78 79 80 81 82 83 84 85 86 Pt $T₁$ Pb Bi Po **Rn** 66 **Cs** Ba Lu Hf Ta W **Re** Os Ir Au Hg At $**$ 87 88 103 104 105 106 107 108 109 110 111 112 113 114 115 116 117 118 Rf Uuo $\overline{7}$ Fr Ra Lr Db Sg **Bh Hs** Mt **Ds Rg** Cn Uut Uug Uup Uuh Uus \ast 57 58 59 60 61 62 63 64 65 66 67 68 69 $70₂$ *Lanthanoids La Ce Pr Nd Pm Sm Eu Gd Tb Dy Ho Er Тm Yb $**$ 101 89 90 91 92 93 94 95 96 97 98 99 100 102 51 Th Pa Ù **Np** Pu Am Cm **Bk** Cf Es **Actinoids Ac Fm Md **No**

Transferability of optimum parameter sets DFTB Parameterization for different structures

$orbe(3d) = 0.094$ $orbe(3d) = 0.144$ $orbe(3d) = 0.194$ $\overline{\text{OPT}}_{\text{fitness function} = 0.056}$ fitness function = 0.215 fitness function = 0.121 $20₁$ 20 20 15 15 15 10 10 10 energy $\lbrack eV\rbrack$ energy $\lbrack eV\rbrack$ energy $\left[\mathrm{eV}\right]$ $\overline{\mathbf{5}}$ $\overline{5}$ 5 $\bf{0}$ $\overline{0}$ $\overline{0}$ -5 -5 -5 -10 -10 -10 $-15\frac{L}{\Gamma}$ $-15\frac{L}{\Gamma}$ -15 \overline{X} W $\overline{\mathrm{K}}$ $\overline{\mathbf{K}}$ \overline{X} W $\rm K$ $\mathbf X$ W $\rm K$ K ΄F \mathbf{L} \mathbf{I} \mathbf{L} Γ $\overline{\mathbf{k}}$ \mathbf{L} Γ $orbe(3d) = 0.244$ $orbe(3d) = 0.294$ fitness function = 0.092 fitness function = 0.160 **DFT DFTB** 20 20 15 15 $W(\text{orb}) = 10.944$ orbe $(3s) = -0.2849$ 10 10 energy $[eV]$ energy $[eV]$ orbe $(3p) = -0.09966$ $a(orb) = 2.627$ $\overline{5}$ $\overline{5}$ $r(\text{orb}) = 5.097$ $\overline{0}$ $\mathbf{0}$ $W(dens) = 0.028$ -5 -5 $a(dens) = 2.311$ -10 -10 $r(dens) = 13.971$ $-1.$ \mathbf{x} W $\bf K$ \mathbf{L} L $\bf K$ $\mathbf X$ W $\bf K$ L Γ $\bf K$

Influence of virtual orbital energy (3d) to Al (*fcc*) band structure

 \triangleright The bands of upper part are shifted up constantly as orb ε (3d) becomes largers

Influence of *r*(orb) to Al (*fcc*) band structure

Electronic Parameters DETB Parameterization

Correlation of *r*(orb) vs. atomic diameter

 \triangleright In particular for main group elements, there seems to be a correlation between *r*(orb) and atomic diameter.

57

Straightforward application to binary crystal structures

Zincblende (space group No. 216)

B2 (space group No. 221)

•Wurtzite (BeO, AlO, ZnO, GaN, …)

•Hexagonal (BN, WC)

•Rhombohedral (*ABCABC* stacking sequence, BN)

 \triangleright more than 100 pairs tested

ØFurther improvement can be performed for specific purpose but this preliminary sets will work as good starting points

59

Acknowledgements

Acknowledgements

- **Marcus Elstner**
- Jan Knaup
- Alexey Krashenninikov
- Yasuhito Ohta
- Thomas Heine
- Keiji Morokuma
- Marcus Lundberg
- Yoshio Nishimoto
- Some others